	Case 1:24-cv-00759-KES-SKO Docume	ent 7 Filed 11/21/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MAURICE K. WILLIAMS,	No. 1:24-cv-00759-KES-SKO (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13		RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
14	v.	CORPUS, AND DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO ENTER JUDGMENT AND
15		CLOSE CASE
16	E CLIVED Wl.	Doc. 6
17	F. SLIVER, Warden,	
18	Respondent.	
19		
20		
21	Petitioner Maurice L. Williams is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
22	pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. This matter was	
23	referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule	
24	302.	
25	On July 8, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations to	
26	dismiss the petition. Doc. 6. Those findings and recommendations were served upon all parties	
27	and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within thirty (30) days after	
28	service. No objections have been filed, and the deadline to do so has expired.	
		1

Case 1:24-cv-00759-KES-SKO Document 7 Filed 11/21/24 Page 2 of 2

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. The petition fails to state a claim for a procedural due process violation because the petition asserts only that prison officials failed to follow their own procedures, not those required by the Due Process Clause. Doc. 1 at 6, 14. The Court "need not consider whether the prison complied with its own [procedural] regulations." Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1420 (9th Cir. 1994), overruled on other grounds by Sandin v. Conner, 515 U.S. 472, 483–84 (1995). A prisoner's "right to due process [is] violated only if he was not provided with process sufficient to meet the Wolff standard." Id. As the findings and recommendations explain, the disciplinary hearing met the Wolff standard. Doc. 6 at 2–3. Petitioner therefore has failed to state a claim. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on July 8, 2024, Doc. 6, are adopted in full;

- 2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is dismissed with prejudice; and
- 3. The clerk of court is directed to enter judgment and close the case.

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 21, 2024